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### 1.0 Purpose and Background

The purpose of this Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) is to determine the appropriate control at the existing intersection of MN Highway 23 and MN Highway 7. The intersection is located in Chippewa County on the south side of Clara City. The intersection has commercial development in the northwest, northeast, and southeast quadrants, and an agricultural field in the southwest quadrant. The intersection location is shown in Figure 1.

The following goals were identified for this study:

- Improve safety
- Maintain access for nearby businesses and landowners
- Reduce delays for traffic on MN Highway 23
- Make it easier for people walking or biking to cross the intersection
- Ensure freight (including over-size, over-weight) can use the intersection
- Ensure the solution is cost effective
- Reduce driver confusion (who is supposed to stop)

As part of the community engagement for this study, MnDOT provided an online survey to obtain feedback on existing issues and potential solutions. The survey was launched on February 11, 2021 and closed on February 21, 2021. A summary of the survey results are included in the Appendix.

MnDOT also conducted phone interviews of interested stakeholders that the City helped to identify. MnDOT staff spoke with nine different stakeholders through these interviews.

## The objective of this report is to provide a recommendation for intersection

 improvements to adequately serve existing and future mobility needs.

### 2.0 Existing Conditions

MN Highway 23 is a two-lane undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 60 miles per hour north and south of Clara City. Within Clara City, the speed limit is 30 miles per hour. The 2018 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) on MN Highway 23 was 4,650 north of MN Highway 7 and 3,300 south of MN Highway 7.

MN Highway 7 is a two-lane undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 60 miles per hour east and west of Clara City. Near the MN Highway 23 intersection, the speed limit is reduced to 50 miles per hour. The 2018 Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) on MN Highway 7 was 3,100 west of MN Highway 23 and 2,550 east of MN Highway 23.

The subject intersection, shown in Figure 2, is controlled with stop signs on the MN Highway 23 approaches. The MN Highway 23 approaches consist of one shared left turn/through lane and one channelized right turn lane. The MN Highway 7 approaches consist of one left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane.

The existing stop signs on MN Highway 23 have continuous flashing red beacons above them. The MN Highway 23 approaches also have blank out signs that indicate traffic is approaching when the yellow beacons are flashing. These are known as Rural Intersection Conflict Warning Systems (RICWS) and were installed in December 2015.

Land uses near the intersection include a grain elevator in the northeast quadrant, a City park and recreational vehicle dealer in the northeast quadrant, a gas station in the southeast quadrant, and agricultural land in the southwest quadrant.

Twelve hour turn movement count data was collected in July 2020. At the time of the data collection, automatic count locations on both MN Highway 7 and MN Highway 23 indicated daily traffic volumes were approximately 15\% lower than 2019 due to COVID-19 impacts. The turn movement volumes collected for this project were therefore increased by $15 \%$ to account for this reduction to estimate volumes during normal conditions.

Peak hour volumes were determined for the intersection during the a.m. and p.m. time periods. During the typical 7-9 a.m. time period, the peak hour was 7:45-8:45 a.m. However, volumes continue to build through the morning, so the actual peak hour occurs from 10:15-11:15 a.m. The p.m. peak hour occurs from 3:30-4:30 p.m. The existing turn movement volumes are shown in Figure 3.
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### 3.0 Future Conditions

Analysis of the traffic control alternatives was completed for 2040. Hourly traffic forecasts were completed for the intersection using the following procedure:

1) Existing hourly traffic counts were collected at the subject intersection in July 2020.
2) The turn movement volumes collected were increased by $15 \%$ to account for observed reductions due to COVID-19 impacts resulting in 2020 volumes during normal conditions.
3) Based on historic growth trends on MN Highway 7 and MN Highway 23, a one percent per year growth rate was applied to the existing volumes to determine future 2040 No-Build volumes.
4) Proposed traffic volumes were generated for a potential future industrial park located south of the gas station in the southeast quadrant of the intersection. Traffic volumes for this development were estimated using the following:
a. Trip Generation - Peak hour trip generation estimates for the industrial park were established based on data presented in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Trip Generation for the off-peak hours was estimated based on data collected at other similar uses.
b. Trip Distribution and Assignment - Based on existing and expected future traffic patterns, location of major trip attractions, and the surrounding roadway network, the following trip distribution percentages were established for development trips:

- 25 percent to/from the west on MN Highway 7
- 25 percent to/from the north on MN Highway 23
- 25 percent to/from the south on MN Highway 23
- 15 percent to/from the east on MN Highway 7
- 8 percent to/from the north on Division Street
- 2 percent to/from the south on Division Street

Development trips were assigned to the subject intersections based on the preceding distribution percentages to establish future 2040 Build volumes. The resultant a.m. and p.m. peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 4.

The resultant 2040 traffic forecasts are considered the worst-case scenario as they account for both background growth and full buildout of the future industrial park. Future traffic volumes would be lower if less growth occurs than assumed.


### 4.0 Analysis of Alternatives

To determine the most appropriate form of traffic control for the subject intersection capable of accommodating existing and future volumes, the following alternatives were examined:

1) Two-way stop control
2) All way stop control
3) Traffic Signal
4) Roundabout

## Two-Way Stop Control (Existing control)

## Description

With this traffic control, the north and south approaches are subject to stop sign control and the east and west approaches have the right-of-way. The following lane geometrics exist for this alternative:

- Eastbound MN Highway 7 - One left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane
- Westbound MN Highway 7 - One left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane
- Northbound MN Highway 23 - One left turn/through lane and one channelized right lane
- Southbound MN Highway 23 - One left turn/through lane and one channelized right lane


## Capacity Analysis

Capacity analyses were completed using Synchro software for the 2020 and 2040 a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Results of the capacity analysis show the following:

- 2020 - All movements operate at Level of Service (LOS) C or better during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.
- 2040-All movements operate at LOS C or better during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.


## Crash Analysis

Crash data was obtained from the Minnesota Crash Mapping Analysis Tool (MnCMAT2) for the five-year period of January 1, 2016, to December 31, 2020. The number and severity of the crashes are shown in Table 1.

Table 1
Intersection Crash Data

| Intersection | Crash Severity |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fatal | Type A | Type B | Type C |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 12 |

The intersection crash rate and the intersection severity rate were calculated using an entering volume of 6,820 vehicles per day. The resultant rates are shown in Table 2.

Table 2
Crash Rate Comparison

|  | Crash Rate |  |  | Severity Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Analysis <br> Intersection | Statewide <br> Average | Critical <br> Crash <br> Rate | Analysis <br> Intersection | Statewide <br> Average | Critical <br> Severity <br> Rate |
| MN Highway 7/ <br> MN Highway 23 | 0.96 | 0.065 | 0.29 | 0.0 | 0.35 | 6.51 |

Note: Statewide average rates based on rates from the MnDOT 2015-2019 toolkit.
The observed crash rate is the number of crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV). The critical rate is a statistical comparison based on similar intersections statewide. An observed crash rate greater than the critical rate indicates that the intersection operates outside the expected, normal range. The critical index reports the magnitude of this difference.

The observed total crash rate for this period is 0.96 per MEV. The observed fatal and serious injury crash rate for this period is 0.00 per 100 MEV .

## All-Way Stop Control

## Description

This form of control would include stop signs on all four approaches to the subject intersection. All-way stop control is typically considered for low volume intersections.

The following lane geometrics were assumed for this alternative:

- Eastbound MN Highway 7 - One left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane
- Westbound MN Highway 7 - One left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane
- Northbound MN Highway 23 - One left turn/through lane and one channelized right lane
- Southbound MN Highway 23 - One left turn/through lane and one channelized right lane


## Warrant Analysis

An All-Way stop warrant analysis was completed using the 2020 and 2040 traffic volumes in accordance with the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MnMUTCD). The analysis was completed using the existing lane geometrics assuming MN Highway 7 is the major roadway. The results indicate warrants are met for All-Way stop control for the 2020 and 2040 scenarios. The warrant is met for 8 hours using the 2020 volumes and 12 hours using the 2040 volumes. These results meet the requirement that the warrant is met for a minimum of 8 hours. The full warrant results are included in the Appendix.

## Capacity Analysis

Capacity analyses were completed using Synchro software for the 2020 and 2040 a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Results of the capacity analysis show the following:

- 2020 - All movements operate at Level of Service (LOS) C or better during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.
- 2040 - All movements operate at LOS C or better during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.


## Crash Analysis

Projected 2040 traffic volumes and standard crash rates for state highway intersections were used to estimate the average number of crashes for All-Way stop control. A total crash rate of 0.241 per million entering vehicles and a fatal and serious injury crash rate of 0.225 per million entering vehicles were used for the calculations. Based on the projected 2040 entering volume of 8,640 vehicles per day, the average number of total crashes with All-Way stop control would be 0.8 per year. The average number of serious and fatal crashes would be 0.7.

## Traffic Signal Control

## Description

This form of control would involve the installation of a fully actuated traffic signal at the subject intersection.

The following lane geometrics were assumed for this alternative:

- Eastbound MN Highway 7 - One left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane
- Westbound MN Highway 7 - One left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane
- Northbound MN Highway 23 - One left turn lane, one through lane, and one channelized right lane
- Southbound MN Highway 23 - One left turn lane, one through lane, and one channelized right lane


## Warrant Analysis

A traffic signal warrant analysis was completed using the 2020 and 2040 traffic volumes in accordance with the Minnesota Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MnMUTCD). The analysis was completed using the existing lane geometrics assuming MN Highway 7 is the major roadway. The results indicate warrants are not met for the 2020 and 2040 scenarios. The warrant is met for 0 hours using the 2020 volumes and 0 hours using the 2040 volumes. The full warrant results are included in the Appendix.

## Capacity Analysis

Capacity analyses were completed using Synchro software for the 2020 and 2040 a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Results of the capacity analysis show the following:

- 2020 - All movements operate at Level of Service (LOS) B or better during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.
- 2040 - All movements operate at LOS B or better during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.


## Crash Analysis

Projected 2040 traffic volumes and standard crash rates for state highway intersections were used to estimate the average number of crashes for traffic signal control. A total crash rate of 0.420 per million entering vehicles and a fatal and serious injury crash rate of 0.635 per million entering vehicles were used for the calculations. Based on the projected 2040 entering volume of 8,640 vehicles per day, the average number of total crashes with traffic signal control would be 1.3 per year. The average number of serious and fatal crashes would be 2.0.

## Roundabout Control

## Description

This form of control would involve the installation of a single lane roundabout at the subject intersection.

## Warrant Analysis

Roundabouts are considered to be warranted if traffic volumes meet the criteria for either all-way stop or traffic signal control. In this case, the warrant for all-way stop is met and therefore it is also met for roundabout control.

## Capacity Analysis

Capacity analyses were completed using the Synchro software for the 2020 and 2040 a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Results of the capacity analysis show the following:

- 2020 - All movements operate at Level of Service (LOS) A during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.
- 2040-All movements operate at LOS A during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.


## Crash Analysis

Projected 2040 traffic volumes and standard crash rates for state highway intersections were used to estimate the average number of crashes for roundabout control. A total crash rate of 0.778 per million entering vehicles and a fatal and serious injury crash rate of 0.377 per million entering vehicles were used for the calculations. Based on the projected 2040 entering volume of 8,640 vehicles per day, the average number of total crashes with roundabout control would be 2.5 per year. The average number of serious and fatal crashes would be 1.2.

### 5.0 Recommended Intersection Control

Note: After the data was collected and analyzed for this report, three additional crashes were recorded at this intersection in June and July of 2021. While this recent crash data that traffic engineers use to make recommendations on intersection controls is not yet finalized, the District 8 Traffic Engineer has reviewed the preliminary details of all three recent crashes and has taken them into account in the recommendations below.

Based on the analysis in this report along with review of crashes that happened after the data for this report had been collected and analyzed, it is recommended that the following intersection control plan be implemented:

## Short Term Recommendations:

1. Review and analyze the final crash data from the recent crashes in June and July of 2021 as they become available.
2. Replace the existing red flashing beacon stop signs with flashing LED stop signs on the MN Highway 23 approaches (if recommendation \#7 is implemented, LED stop signs would be installed at the same time).
3. Review and analyze the current Rural Intersection Conflict Warning System (RICWS) to determine if it should be removed or remain.
4. Review existing signs on all approaches to determine if any can be removed to reduce sign clutter.
5. Review existing pavement markings to determine if any need to be replaced or updated.
6. Work with Clara City staff to improve pedestrian facilities on Division Street, east of the MN Highway 7 and 23 intersection to reduce vehicle and pedestrian conflicts.
7. Consider changing the intersection control to an all-way stop, adding LED stop signs to the MN Highway 7 approaches (LED stop signs would be installed in coordination with recommendation \#2).

## Additional Considerations:

If the severity of crashes increases above the critical rate in the future, a roundabout control should be considered for a long-term solution.

### 6.0 Appendix

- Survey Results
- All-Way Stop Warrants
- Traffic Signal Warrants
- Level of Service Worksheets


## Hwy 23 \& Hwy 7 Intersection Study - Clara City

An online survey was launched on February 11, 2021 as part of public engagement for the Hwy 23 \& Hwy 7 intersection study in Clara City. The survey (via Survey Monkey) was offered and promoted in both English and Spanish. The online survey included three open ended questions and two multiple choice questions. The survey closed on February 21, 2021.

## Survey Promotion

The survey was promoted primarily through social media (paid and organic FB ads) but was also promoted using a news release and emails to key stakeholders and partners. Six hundred and thirty-three (633) surveys were submitted through the English survey link. Three surveys (3) were submitted through the Spanish survey link.

The Spanish survey ad, to date, is the best performing non-English ad for MnDOT.

## English Ad

- Reach (the number of people who saw the ad/post at least once): 16, 548
- Impressions (the number of times the ad/post was on screen. Impressions are different from reach; impressions may include multiple views of the ad/post by the same people): 37, 262
- Clicks (the number of times the link included in the ad/post was clicked; for this post, there were two links, one to the study website and one to the survey): 1, 058
- Comments (number of comments on the ad/post): 56
- Engagements (Likes, Shares, Reactions): 1, 206


## Spanish Ad

- Reach (the number of people who saw the ad/post at least once): 9,674
- Impressions (the number of times the ad/post was on screen. Impressions are different from reach; impressions may include multiple views of the ad/post by the same people): 27,234
- Clicks (the number of times the link included in the ad/post was clicked; for this post, there were two links, one to the study website and one to the survey): 258
- Comments (number of comments on the ad/post): 11
- Engagements (Likes, Shares, Reactions): 291


## Demographics (self-reported)

MnDOT strives to represent the communities it serves. To do so, we request survey respondents answer demographic questions. Demographic questions are not required and are at an individual's discretion.

## Gender

Male: 333
Female: 333

## Age

Under 18: 2
18-24: 57
25-34: 110
35-44: 128
45-54: 111
55-64: 105
65+: 91
No answer: 19

## Ethnicity

Caucasian: 518
American Indian or Alaskan Native: 4
Asian: 1
Black or African American: 1
Hispanic/Latino: 5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander: 1
Other: 9
Prefer not to answer: 79

## Survey Reponses

Question 1: What concerns do you have about the intersection of Hwy 23 \& Hwy $\mathbf{7}$ in Clara City? (Open ended question where respondents expressed concerns in their own words. Responses were summarized and grouped into like categories seen below)

| Response Category | \# of comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safety (intersection is not safe/crash reduction) | 134 |
| Nothing needs to be done - intersection works as is | 112 |
| Visibility | 86 |
| Difficult to cross | 41 |
| Confusing (who should stop) | 27 |
| Truck traffic/large equipment | 43 |
| Other (also includes combinations of categories above) |  |

Question 2: What improvements would you like to see at the intersection of Hwy $\mathbf{2 3}$ \& Hwy $\mathbf{7}$ in Clara City? (Open ended question where respondents expressed improvements they would like to see in their own words. Responses were summarized and grouped into like categories seen below)

| Response Category | \# of comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Roundabout | 88 |
| Nothing/intersection works as is | 78 |
| Traffic signal | 72 |
| Combination of options | 61 |


| Response Category | \# of comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bridge | 30 |
| Reduce speed limit | 28 |
| Four-way/all way stop | 16 |
| Make it safer | 20 |
| Better flow | 8 |
| Improve visibility | 5 |
| Four-lane around Clara City | 73 |
| Other (or combo of above) | 8 |

Question 3: MnDOT has the following goals for the intersection of Hwy 23 \& Hwy 7 in Clara City. Which goals are most important to you? Please put in order of importance with the goal you feel is most important. (Rank choice question with respondents ranking listed goals in order of importance)



Question 4: Please select (all) intersection options you can support at the intersection of Hwy 23 \& Hwy $\mathbf{7}$ in Clara City. (Multiple choice question with the ability to select multiple answers - choices reflected solutions explored in the ICE report)


## Other Comments

The last question of the survey asked if individuals would like to tell us anything else about the intersection of Hwy 23 and Hwy 7 in Clara City. Two hundred and fifty-five (255) comments were submitted. Of these comments, the majority referenced not making any improvements to the intersection.

## Facebook Comments

MnDOT promoted the survey via paid Facebook ads (English and Spanish). Most comments were about roundabouts, but other comments fit into the categories of traffic lights, stop signs and reducing the speed limit.

All comments, those submitted through the survey as well as those made on Facebook are attached to this document.

## 2020 ADJUSTED VOLUMES WITH TH 7 MAJOR STREET <br> ALL WAY STOP WARRANT

| LOCATION: | TH 23 TH 7 |
| :--- | :---: |
| COUNTY: | Chippewa |
| DATE: | $9-J u l-20$ |


| Speed | Approach | Description | Lanes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | Major 1 | WB TH 7 | 3 |
| 50 | Major 2 | EB TH 7 | 3 |
| 30 | Minor 1 | NB TH 23 | 2 |
| 30 | Minor 2 | SB TH 23 | 2 |

210140

| HOUR OF DAY | MAJOR <br> APP 1 | MAJOR <br> APP 2 | MINOR <br> APP 1 | MINOR <br> APP 2 | MAJOR TOTAL $\text { (APP } 1 \text { + APP 2) }$ | MINOR TOTAL (APP 1 + APP 2) | WARRANT MET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-1 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-6 am | 22 | 25 | 51 | 18 | 47 | 69 |  |
| 6-7 am | 55 | 62 | 110 | 60 | 117 | 170 |  |
| 7-8 am | 84 | 104 | 125 | 84 | 188 | 209 |  |
| 8-9 am | 89 | 98 | 129 | 104 | 187 | 233 |  |
| 9-10 am | 77 | 100 | 164 | 114 | 177 | 278 |  |
| 10-11 am | 112 | 122 | 185 | 136 | 234 | 321 | Y |
| 11am-12pm | 81 | 143 | 182 | 129 | 224 | 311 | Y |
| $12-1 \mathrm{pm}$ | 94 | 122 | 177 | 130 | 216 | 307 | Y |
| $1-2 \mathrm{pm}$ | 99 | 147 | 186 | 113 | 246 | 299 | Y |
| 2-3 pm | 87 | 140 | 182 | 167 | 227 | 349 | Y |
| 3-4 pm | 106 | 154 | 204 | 171 | 260 | 375 | Y |
| $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ | 117 | 147 | 198 | 153 | 264 | 351 | Y |
| 5-6 pm | 62 | 154 | 160 | 151 | 216 | 311 | Y |
| $6-7 \mathrm{pm}$ | 63 | 110 | 125 | 133 | 173 | 258 |  |
| 7-8 pm | 53 | 63 | 116 | 70 | 116 | 186 |  |
| 8-9 pm | 44 | 37 | 87 | 59 | 81 | 146 |  |
| 9-10 pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10-11 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11-12 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Met (hr) Required (hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALL WAY STOP | WARRAN |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |

## 2040 VOLUMES WITH TH 7 MAJOR STREET <br> ALL WAY STOP WARRANT

LOCATION: TH 23 TH 7
COUNTY: Chippewa
DATE: 9-Jul-20

| Speed | Approach | Description | Lanes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | Major 1 | WB TH 7 | 3 |
| 50 | Major 2 | EB TH 7 | 3 |
| 30 | Minor 1 | NB TH 23 | 2 |
| 30 | Minor 2 | SB TH 23 | 2 |

210
140

| HOUR OF DAY | MAJOR APP 1 | MAJOR <br> APP 2 | MINOR <br> APP 1 | MINOR <br> APP 2 | MAJOR TOTAL (APP 1 + APP 2) | MINOR TOTAL (APP $1+$ APP 2) | WARRANT MET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-1 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-6 am | 27 | 35 | 66 | 25 | 62 | 91 |  |
| 6-7 am | 67 | 82 | 140 | 78 | 149 | 218 |  |
| 7-8 am | 102 | 140 | 166 | 108 | 242 | 274 | Y |
| 8-9 am | 109 | 129 | 166 | 133 | 238 | 299 | Y |
| 9-10 am | 94 | 127 | 205 | 145 | 221 | 350 | Y |
| 10-11 am | 137 | 152 | 229 | 172 | 289 | 401 | Y |
| 11am-12pm | 99 | 177 | 225 | 164 | 276 | 389 | Y |
| $12-1 \mathrm{pm}$ | 115 | 152 | 219 | 166 | 267 | 385 | Y |
| $1-2 \mathrm{pm}$ | 121 | 182 | 230 | 145 | 303 | 375 | Y |
| $2-3 \mathrm{pm}$ | 106 | 174 | 225 | 212 | 280 | 437 | Y |
| $3-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | 129 | 191 | 252 | 219 | 320 | 471 | Y |
| $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ | 143 | 182 | 245 | 202 | 325 | 447 | Y |
| $5-6 \mathrm{pm}$ | 76 | 191 | 198 | 209 | 267 | 407 | Y |
| $6-7 \mathrm{pm}$ | 77 | 137 | 156 | 177 | 214 | 333 | Y |
| $7-8 \mathrm{pm}$ | 65 | 78 | 143 | 92 | 143 | 235 |  |
| $8-9 \mathrm{pm}$ | 54 | 46 | 107 | 75 | 100 | 182 |  |
| 9-10 pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10-11 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11-12 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Met (hr) Required (hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALL WAY STO | WARRAN |  | 12 | 8 |  |  |  |

## TH 23 TH 7

CITY OF CLARA CITY, MN

## 2020 volumes with TH 7 the Major Street <br> 70\% Traffic Signal Warrants

| HOUR | TWO-WAY VOLUME | HIGHER VOLUME APPROACH |  | NAL | ARRAN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OF DAY | MAJOR STREET | MINOR STREET | 1A | 1B | 2 | 3 |
| 6-7 am | 117 | 110 |  |  |  |  |
| $7-8 \mathrm{am}$ | 188 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| 8-9 am | 187 | 129 |  |  |  |  |
| 9-10 am | 177 | 164 |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 am | 234 | 185 |  |  |  |  |
| 11am-12pm | 224 | 182 |  |  |  |  |
| $12-1 \mathrm{pm}$ | 216 | 177 |  |  |  |  |
| $1-2 \mathrm{pm}$ | 246 | 186 |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 pm | 227 | 182 |  |  |  |  |
| $3-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | 260 | 204 |  |  |  |  |
| $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ | 264 | 198 |  |  |  |  |
| $5-6 \mathrm{pm}$ | 216 | 160 |  |  |  |  |
| $6-7 \mathrm{pm}$ | 173 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| $7-8 \mathrm{pm}$ | 116 | 116 |  |  |  |  |
| Main Street Volume Needed |  |  | 420 | 630 | warr 2 | warr 3 |
| Side Street Volume Needed |  |  | 140 | 70 | graph | graph |
| Hours Needed |  |  | 8 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| Hours Met |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* = Indicates the hours in which the warrants are met.

| Warrant Met (YES or NO) | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




## TH 23 TH 7

CITY OF CLARA CITY, MN

## 2040 volumes with TH 7 the Major Street 70\% Traffic Signal Warrants

| HOUR | TWO-WAY VOLUME | HIGHER VOLUME APPROACH |  | NAL | ARRAN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OF DAY | MAJOR STREET | MINOR STREET | 1A | 1B | 2 | 3 |
| 6-7 am | 149 | 140 |  |  |  |  |
| $7-8 \mathrm{am}$ | 242 | 166 |  |  |  |  |
| 8-9 am | 238 | 166 |  |  |  |  |
| 9-10 am | 221 | 205 |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 am | 289 | 229 |  |  |  |  |
| 11am-12pm | 276 | 225 |  |  |  |  |
| $12-1 \mathrm{pm}$ | 267 | 219 |  |  |  |  |
| $1-2 \mathrm{pm}$ | 303 | 230 |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 pm | 280 | 225 |  |  |  |  |
| $3-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | 320 | 252 |  |  |  |  |
| $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ | 325 | 245 |  |  |  |  |
| $5-6 \mathrm{pm}$ | 267 | 198 |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 pm | 214 | 156 |  |  |  |  |
| $7-8 \mathrm{pm}$ | 143 | 143 |  |  |  |  |
| Main Street Volume Needed |  |  | 420 | 630 | warr 2 | warr 3 |
| Side Street Volume Needed |  |  | 140 | 70 | graph | graph |
| Hours Needed |  |  | 8 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| Hours Met |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* = Indicates the hours in which the warrants are met.

| Warrant Met (YES or NO) | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 49 | 46 | 5 | 21 | 49 | 8 | 5 | 81 | 18 | 3 | 99 | 29 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 49 | 46 | 5 | 21 | 49 | 8 | 5 | 81 | 18 | 3 | 99 | 29 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Yield | － | － | Yield |
| Storage Length | 300 | － | 300 | 300 | － | 300 | － | － | 250 | － | － | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 14 | 13 | 25 | 50 | 26 | 43 | 2 | 23 | 38 | 33 | 30 | 32 |
| Mvmt Flow | 60 | 56 | 6 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 6 | 99 | 22 | 4 | 121 | 35 |



| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay，s／veh | 9.6 |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「＇ | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「＇ |  | ${ }_{*} \uparrow$ | 「＇ |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 49 | 46 | 5 | 21 | 49 | 8 | 5 | 81 | 18 | 3 | 99 | 29 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 49 | 46 | 5 | 21 | 49 | 8 | 5 | 81 | 18 | 3 | 99 | 29 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 14 | 13 | 25 | 50 | 26 | 43 | 2 | 23 | 38 | 33 | 30 | 32 |
| Mvmt Flow | 60 | 56 | 6 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 6 | 99 | 22 | 4 | 121 | 35 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.5 |  |  | 9.7 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 9.9 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left，\％ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru，\％ | $94 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right，\％ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 86 | 18 | 49 | 46 | 5 | 21 | 49 | 8 | 102 | 29 |
| LT Vol | 5 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 81 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 99 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 29 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 105 | 22 | 60 | 56 | 6 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 124 | 35 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util（X） | 0.163 | 0.032 | 0.105 | 0.091 | 0.009 | 0.05 | 0.101 | 0.015 | 0.207 | 0.051 |
| Departure Headway（Hd） | 5.583 | 5.211 | 6.342 | 5.821 | 5.321 | 7.01 | 6.095 | 5.68 | 6.004 | 5.238 |
| Convergence，Y／N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 639 | 682 | 562 | 611 | 667 | 508 | 583 | 625 | 595 | 679 |
| Service Time | 3.354 | 2.981 | 4.122 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 4.796 | 3.88 | 3.465 | 3.775 | 3.008 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.164 | 0.032 | 0.107 | 0.092 | 0.009 | 0.051 | 0.103 | 0.016 | 0.208 | 0.052 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.5 | 8.2 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 10.4 | 8.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | B | A |
| HCM 95th－tile Q | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |

3: TH 23 \& TH 7

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 49 | 46 | 5 | 21 | 49 | 8 | 5 | 81 | 18 | 3 | 99 | 29 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 49 | 46 | 5 | 21 | 49 | 8 | 5 | 81 | 18 | 3 | 99 | 29 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h//n | 1693 | 1707 | 1530 | 1159 | 1515 | 1263 | 1870 | 1559 | 1337 | 1411 | 1455 | 1426 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 60 | 56 | 6 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 6 | 99 | 0 | 4 | 121 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 14 | 13 | 25 | 50 | 26 | 43 | 2 | 23 | 38 | 33 | 30 | 32 |
| Cap, veh/h | 543 | 296 | 224 | 460 | 208 | 147 | 172 | 321 |  | 164 | 306 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1612 | 1707 | 1296 | 1104 | 1515 | 1070 | 42 | 1498 | 1133 | 21 | 1425 | 1208 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 60 | 56 | 6 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1612 | 1707 | 1296 | 1104 | 1515 | 1070 | 1539 | 0 | 1133 | 1446 | 0 | 1208 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.06 |  | 1.00 | 0.03 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 543 | 296 | 224 | 460 | 208 | 147 | 493 | 0 |  | 469 | 0 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.00 |  | 0.27 | 0.00 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 812 | 1355 | 1029 | 683 | 1202 | 849 | 1633 | 0 |  | 1547 | 0 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 7.6 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 7.7 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 122 |  |  | 96 |  |  | 105 | A |  | 125 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 8.1 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 7.9 |  |  | 8.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | 9.5 | 5.3 | 8.5 |  | 9.5 | 6.1 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 22.5 | 5.5 | 18.5 |  | 22.5 | 5.5 | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.7 |  | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr Delay |  |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 122 |  | 96 |  | 127 |  |  | 160 |  |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 139 |  | 129 |  | 158 |  |  | 208 |  |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 201 |  | 196 |  | 136 |  |  | 121 |  |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 82 |  | 68 |  | 203 |  |  | 204 |  |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 4.8 |  | 5.4 |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Bypass | Left |  | Bypass |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  |  | 2.609 |  |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 30 | 4.976 |  | 46 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 139 |  | 129 |  | 128 |  | 1287 | 162 |  | 1269 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1124 |  | 1130 |  | 1201 |  | 0.725 | 1220 |  | 0.758 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.876 |  | 0.747 |  | 0.822 |  | 22 | 0.770 |  | 35 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 122 |  | 96 |  | 105 |  | 933 | 125 |  | 961 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 985 |  | 844 |  | 987 |  | 0.024 | 939 |  | 0.036 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.124 |  | 0.114 |  | 0.107 |  | 4.1 | 0.133 |  | 4.1 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 4.8 |  | 5.4 |  | 4.6 |  | A | 5.1 |  | A |
| LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  | 0 | A |  | 0 |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |




| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay，s／veh | 10.9 |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 77 | 53 | 5 | 20 | 69 | 15 | 2 | 132 | 14 | 12 | 114 | 53 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 77 | 53 | 5 | 20 | 69 | 15 | 2 | 132 | 14 | 12 | 114 | 53 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 24 | 11 | 50 | 18 | 27 | 46 | 2 | 14 | 17 | 70 | 19 | 28 |
| Mvmt Flow | 90 | 62 | 6 | 23 | 80 | 17 | 2 | 153 | 16 | 14 | 133 | 62 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.7 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left，\％ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru，$\%$ | $99 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right，\％ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 134 | 14 | 77 | 53 | 5 | 20 | 69 | 15 | 126 | 53 |
| LT Vol | 2 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 132 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 114 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 53 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 156 | 16 | 90 | 62 | 6 | 23 | 80 | 17 | 147 | 62 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util（X） | 0.262 | 0.025 | 0.176 | 0.109 | 0.01 | 0.046 | 0.15 | 0.031 | 0.29 | 0.094 |
| Departure Headway（Hd） | 6.05 | 5.545 | 7.069 | 6.339 | 6.301 | 7.064 | 6.712 | 6.33 | 7.116 | 5.498 |
| Convergence，Y／N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 594 | 645 | 508 | 566 | 568 | 507 | 534 | 565 | 505 | 651 |
| Service Time | 3.784 | 3.279 | 4.806 | 4.076 | 4.039 | 4.803 | 4.451 | 4.069 | 4.849 | 3.232 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.263 | 0.025 | 0.177 | 0.11 | 0.011 | 0.045 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.291 | 0.095 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.9 | 8.4 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 12.7 | 8.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | B | A | A | B | B | A | B | A |
| HCM 95th－tile Q | 1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 |

3: TH 23 \& TH 7

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 77 | 53 | 5 | 20 | 69 | 15 | 2 | 132 | 14 | 12 | 114 | 53 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 77 | 53 | 5 | 20 | 69 | 15 | 2 | 132 | 14 | 12 | 114 | 53 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h//n | 1544 | 1737 | 1159 | 1633 | 1500 | 1218 | 1870 | 1693 | 1648 | 863 | 1618 | 1485 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 90 | 62 | 6 | 23 | 80 | 17 | 2 | 153 | 0 | 14 | 133 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 24 | 11 | 50 | 18 | 27 | 46 | 2 | 14 | 17 | 70 | 19 | 28 |
| Cap, veh/h | 540 | 368 | 208 | 513 | 221 | 152 | 152 | 344 |  | 178 | 307 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1471 | 1737 | 982 | 1555 | 1500 | 1032 | 10 | 1678 | 1397 | 81 | 1496 | 1259 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 90 | 62 | 6 | 23 | 80 | 17 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1471 | 1737 | 982 | 1555 | 1500 | 1032 | 1688 | 0 | 1397 | 1577 | 0 | 1259 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.01 |  | 1.00 | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 540 | 368 | 208 | 513 | 221 | 152 | 496 | 0 |  | 485 | 0 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.00 |  | 0.30 | 0.00 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 855 | 1460 | 826 | 818 | 1138 | 783 | 1565 | 0 |  | 1466 | 0 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 7.7 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 158 |  |  | 120 |  |  | 155 | A |  | 147 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.8 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 8.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 9.5 | 5.2 | 9.7 |  | 9.5 | 6.8 | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 20.5 | 5.5 | 20.5 |  | 20.5 | 7.5 | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 4.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 |  | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr Delay |  |  | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 158 |  | 120 |  | 171 |  |  | 209 |  |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 190 |  | 154 |  | 195 |  |  | 261 |  |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 209 |  | 288 |  | 205 |  |  | 131 |  |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 104 |  | 93 |  | 194 |  |  | 311 |  |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 5.5 |  | 6.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Bypass | Left |  | Bypass |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  |  | 2.609 |  |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 19 | 4.976 |  | 79 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 190 |  | 154 |  | 176 |  | 1255 | 182 |  | 1241 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1115 |  | 1029 |  | 1120 |  | 0.855 | 1207 |  | 0.781 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.832 |  | 0.781 |  | 0.879 |  | 16 | 0.806 |  | 62 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 158 |  | 120 |  | 155 |  | 1073 | 147 |  | 970 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 928 |  | 804 |  | 984 |  | 0.015 | 974 |  | 0.064 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.170 |  | 0.150 |  | 0.157 |  | 3.5 | 0.151 |  | 4.3 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 5.5 |  | 6.0 |  | 5.1 |  | A | 5.1 |  | A |
| LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  | 0 | A |  | 0 |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 72 | 83 | 8 | 22 | 82 | 20 | 5 | 136 | 13 | 13 | 118 | 81 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 72 | 83 | 8 | 22 | 82 | 20 | 5 | 136 | 13 | 13 | 118 | 81 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Yield | － | － | Yield |
| Storage Length | 300 | － | 300 | 300 | － | 300 | － | － | 250 | － | － | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 19 | 11 | 29 | 5 | 17 | 53 | 2 | 15 | 27 | 82 | 15 | 10 |
| Mvmt Flow | 78 | 90 | 9 | 24 | 89 | 22 | 5 | 148 | 14 | 14 | 128 | 88 |



| Intersection |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Intersection Delay，s／veh | 11 |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 72 | 83 | 8 | 22 | 82 | 20 | 5 | 136 | 13 | 13 | 118 | 81 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 72 | 83 | 8 | 22 | 82 | 20 | 5 | 136 | 13 | 13 | 118 | 81 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 19 | 11 | 29 | 5 | 17 | 53 | 2 | 15 | 27 | 82 | 15 | 10 |
| Mvmt Flow | 78 | 90 | 9 | 24 | 89 | 22 | 5 | 148 | 14 | 14 | 128 | 88 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.6 |  |  | 10.4 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left，\％ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru，\％ | $96 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right，\％ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 141 | 13 | 72 | 83 | 8 | 22 | 82 | 20 | 131 | 81 |
| LT Vol | 5 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 136 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 118 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 81 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 153 | 14 | 78 | 90 | 9 | 24 | 89 | 22 | 142 | 88 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util（X） | 0.265 | 0.022 | 0.154 | 0.162 | 0.015 | 0.046 | 0.165 | 0.04 | 0.293 | 0.135 |
| Departure Headway（Hd） | 6.214 | 5.715 | 7.09 | 6.445 | 6.046 | 6.958 | 6.658 | 6.568 | 7.42 | 5.528 |
| Convergence，Y／N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 578 | 626 | 506 | 557 | 592 | 514 | 538 | 554 | 484 | 648 |
| Service Time | 3.955 | 3.457 | 4.83 | 4.185 | 3.786 | 4.704 | 4.404 | 4.314 | 5.158 | 3.266 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.265 | 0.022 | 0.154 | 0.162 | 0.015 | 0.047 | 0.165 | 0.04 | 0.293 | 0.136 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.2 | 8.6 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 10 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 13.2 | 9.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | B | B | A | A | B | A | B | A |
| HCM 95th－tile Q | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 |

3: TH 23 \& TH 7

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 72 | 83 | 8 | 22 | 82 | 20 | 5 | 136 | 13 | 13 | 118 | 81 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 72 | 83 | 8 | 22 | 82 | 20 | 5 | 136 | 13 | 13 | 118 | 81 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h//n | 1618 | 1737 | 1470 | 1826 | 1648 | 1115 | 1870 | 1678 | 1500 | 685 | 1678 | 1752 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 78 | 90 | 9 | 24 | 89 | 22 | 5 | 148 | 0 | 14 | 128 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 19 | 11 | 29 | 5 | 17 | 53 | 2 | 15 | 27 | 82 | 15 | 10 |
| Cap, veh/h | 550 | 375 | 269 | 552 | 268 | 153 | 157 | 334 |  | 179 | 315 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1541 | 1737 | 1246 | 1739 | 1648 | 945 | 26 | 1640 | 1271 | 87 | 1547 | 1485 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 78 | 90 | 9 | 24 | 89 | 22 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1541 | 1737 | 1246 | 1739 | 1648 | 945 | 1665 | 0 | 1271 | 1634 | 0 | 1485 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.03 |  | 1.00 | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 550 | 375 | 269 | 552 | 268 | 153 | 491 | 0 |  | 494 | 0 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.00 |  | 0.29 | 0.00 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 766 | 1380 | 990 | 888 | 1309 | 750 | 1601 | 0 |  | 1568 | 0 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 7.4 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 7.5 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 177 |  |  | 135 |  |  | 153 | A |  | 142 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 7.9 |  |  | 9.4 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 8.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | 9.5 | 5.3 | 9.8 |  | 9.5 | 6.6 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 21.5 | 5.5 | 19.5 |  | 21.5 | 5.5 | 19.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 4.0 | 2.3 | 3.1 |  | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 |  | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr Delay |  |  | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 177 |  | 135 |  | 167 |  |  | 230 |  |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 205 |  | 163 |  | 193 |  |  | 269 |  |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 197 |  | 268 |  | 218 |  |  | 134 |  |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 109 |  | 125 |  | 184 |  |  | 297 |  |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 5.4 |  | 5.7 |  | 5.1 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Bypass | Left |  | Bypass |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  |  | 2.609 |  |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 18 | 4.976 |  | 97 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 205 |  | 163 |  | 175 |  | 1215 | 172 |  | 1235 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1129 |  | 1050 |  | 1105 |  | 0.787 | 1204 |  | 0.909 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.864 |  | 0.828 |  | 0.873 |  | 14 | 0.825 |  | 88 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 177 |  | 135 |  | 153 |  | 956 | 142 |  | 1122 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 975 |  | 869 |  | 965 |  | 0.015 | 992 |  | 0.078 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.182 |  | 0.155 |  | 0.158 |  | 3.9 | 0.143 |  | 3.9 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 5.4 |  | 5.7 |  | 5.2 |  | A | 4.9 |  | A |
| LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  | 0 | A |  | 0 |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 60 | 56 | 18 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 9 | 102 | 22 | 4 | 134 | 35 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 60 | 56 | 18 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 9 | 102 | 22 | 4 | 134 | 35 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Yield | － | － | Yield |
| Storage Length | 300 | － | 300 | 300 | － | 300 | － | － | 250 | － | － | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 14 | 13 | 25 | 50 | 26 | 43 | 2 | 23 | 38 | 33 | 30 | 32 |
| Mvmt Flow | 73 | 68 | 22 | 32 | 73 | 12 | 11 | 124 | 27 | 5 | 163 | 43 |



| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay，s／veh | 10.6 |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 60 | 56 | 18 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 9 | 102 | 22 | 4 | 134 | 35 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 60 | 56 | 18 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 9 | 102 | 22 | 4 | 134 | 35 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 14 | 13 | 25 | 50 | 26 | 43 | 2 | 23 | 38 | 33 | 30 | 32 |
| Mvmt Flow | 73 | 68 | 22 | 32 | 73 | 12 | 11 | 124 | 27 | 5 | 163 | 43 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.1 |  |  | 10.4 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left，\％ | $8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru，\％ | $92 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right，\％ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 111 | 22 | 60 | 56 | 18 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 138 | 35 |
| LT Vol | 9 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 102 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 134 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 35 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 135 | 27 | 73 | 68 | 22 | 32 | 73 | 12 | 168 | 43 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util（X） | 0.228 | 0.042 | 0.139 | 0.12 | 0.035 | 0.067 | 0.135 | 0.021 | 0.3 | 0.067 |
| Departure Headway（Hd） | 6.053 | 5.669 | 6.832 | 6.309 | 5.807 | 7.552 | 6.632 | 6.216 | 6.415 | 5.648 |
| Convergence，Y／N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 594 | 632 | 525 | 569 | 617 | 475 | 541 | 576 | 562 | 635 |
| Service Time | 3.783 | 3.398 | 4.564 | 4.041 | 3.539 | 5.286 | 4.366 | 3.949 | 4.143 | 3.376 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.227 | 0.043 | 0.139 | 0.12 | 0.036 | 0.067 | 0.135 | 0.021 | 0.299 | 0.068 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.6 | 8.6 | 10.7 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 9.1 | 11.9 | 8.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | $B$ | A | A | B | B | A | B | A |
| HCM 95th－tile Q | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 |

3：TH 23 \＆TH 7

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | ， | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 60 | 56 | 18 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 9 | 102 | 22 | 4 | 134 | 35 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 60 | 56 | 18 | 26 | 60 | 10 | 9 | 102 | 22 | 4 | 134 | 35 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1693 | 1707 | 1530 | 1159 | 1515 | 1263 | 1870 | 1559 | 1337 | 1411 | 1455 | 1426 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 73 | 68 | 22 | 32 | 73 | 12 | 11 | 124 | 0 | 5 | 163 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 14 | 13 | 25 | 50 | 26 | 43 | 2 | 23 | 38 | 33 | 30 | 32 |
| Cap，veh／h | 554 | 331 | 251 | 462 | 233 | 165 | 172 | 318 |  | 155 | 310 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1612 | 1707 | 1296 | 1104 | 1515 | 1070 | 61 | 1465 | 1133 | 19 | 1427 | 1208 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 73 | 68 | 22 | 32 | 73 | 12 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1612 | 1707 | 1296 | 1104 | 1515 | 1070 | 1527 | 0 | 1133 | 1446 | 0 | 1208 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.08 |  | 1.00 | 0.03 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 554 | 331 | 251 | 462 | 233 | 165 | 490 | 0 |  | 465 | 0 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.28 | 0.00 |  | 0.36 | 0.00 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 786 | 1285 | 976 | 665 | 1140 | 805 | 1534 | 0 |  | 1467 | 0 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 7.6 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／ln | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 7.7 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 163 |  |  | 117 |  |  | 135 | A |  | 168 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 8.2 |  |  | 9.4 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 9.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s |  | 9.8 | 5.5 | 9.3 |  | 9.8 | 6.5 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ）， s |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s |  | 22.5 | 5.5 | 18.5 |  | 22.5 | 5.5 | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s |  | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 |  | 4.5 | 2.9 | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s |  | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr Delay |  |  | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 163 |  | 117 |  | 162 |  |  | 211 |  |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 188 |  | 157 |  | 201 |  |  | 276 |  |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 267 |  | 247 |  | 167 |  |  | 151 |  |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 103 |  | 84 |  | 287 |  |  | 253 |  |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 5.7 |  | 6.0 |  | 4.9 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Bypass | Left |  | Bypass |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  |  | 2.609 |  |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 37 | 4.976 |  | 57 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 188 |  | 157 |  | 164 |  | 1267 | 219 |  | 1242 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1051 |  | 1073 |  | 1164 |  | 0.725 | 1183 |  | 0.758 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.868 |  | 0.745 |  | 0.826 |  | 27 | 0.767 |  | 43 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 163 |  | 117 |  | 135 |  | 918 | 168 |  | 941 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 912 |  | 799 |  | 961 |  | 0.029 | 908 |  | 0.046 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.179 |  | 0.146 |  | 0.141 |  | 4.2 | 0.185 |  | 4.2 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 5.7 |  | 6.0 |  | 5.1 |  | A | 5.8 |  | A |
| LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  | 0 | A |  | 0 |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 94 | 65 | 18 | 24 | 84 | 18 | 2 | 164 | 17 | 15 | 152 | 65 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 94 | 65 | 18 | 24 | 84 | 18 | 2 | 164 | 17 | 15 | 152 | 65 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Yield | － | － | Yield |
| Storage Length | 300 | － | 300 | 300 | － | 300 | － | － | 250 | － | － | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 24 | 11 | 50 | 18 | 27 | 46 | 2 | 14 | 17 | 70 | 19 | 28 |
| Mvmt Flow | 109 | 76 | 21 | 28 | 98 | 21 | 2 | 191 | 20 | 17 | 177 | 76 |



| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay，s／veh | 12.5 |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 94 | 65 | 18 | 24 | 84 | 18 | 2 | 164 | 17 | 15 | 152 | 65 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 94 | 65 | 18 | 24 | 84 | 18 | 2 | 164 | 17 | 15 | 152 | 65 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 24 | 11 | 50 | 18 | 27 | 46 | 2 | 14 | 17 | 70 | 19 | 28 |
| Mvmt Flow | 109 | 76 | 21 | 28 | 98 | 21 | 2 | 191 | 20 | 17 | 177 | 76 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.7 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 12.5 |  |  | 13.8 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left，\％ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru，$\%$ | $99 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right，\％ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 166 | 17 | 94 | 65 | 18 | 24 | 84 | 18 | 167 | 65 |
| LT Vol | 2 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 164 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 152 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 65 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 193 | 20 | 109 | 76 | 21 | 28 | 98 | 21 | 194 | 76 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util（X） | 0.351 | 0.033 | 0.231 | 0.144 | 0.04 | 0.059 | 0.198 | 0.04 | 0.408 | 0.125 |
| Departure Headway（Hd） | 6.551 | 6.047 | 7.597 | 6.864 | 6.826 | 7.657 | 7.303 | 6.919 | 7.555 | 5.938 |
| Convergence，Y／N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 546 | 589 | 471 | 520 | 522 | 466 | 489 | 515 | 476 | 601 |
| Service Time | 4.319 | 3.814 | 5.369 | 4.635 | 4.597 | 5.435 | 5.081 | 4.697 | 5.317 | 3.699 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.353 | 0.034 | 0.231 | 0.146 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.2 | 0.041 | 0.408 | 0.126 |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.9 | 9 | 12.7 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 11.9 | 10 | 15.5 | 9.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | B | B | A | B | B | A | C | A |
| HCM 95th－tile Q | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.4 |

3：TH 23 \＆TH 7

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 94 | 65 | 18 | 24 | 84 | 18 | 2 | 164 | 17 | 15 | 152 | 65 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 94 | 65 | 18 | 24 | 84 | 18 | 2 | 164 | 17 | 15 | 152 | 65 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1544 | 1737 | 1159 | 1633 | 1500 | 1218 | 1870 | 1693 | 1648 | 863 | 1618 | 1485 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 109 | 76 | 21 | 28 | 98 | 21 | 2 | 191 | 0 | 17 | 177 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 24 | 11 | 50 | 18 | 27 | 46 | 2 | 14 | 17 | 70 | 19 | 28 |
| Cap，veh／h | 535 | 397 | 224 | 511 | 238 | 164 | 141 | 369 |  | 166 | 331 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1471 | 1737 | 982 | 1555 | 1500 | 1032 | 7 | 1682 | 1397 | 71 | 1507 | 1259 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 109 | 76 | 21 | 28 | 98 | 21 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1471 | 1737 | 982 | 1555 | 1500 | 1032 | 1689 | 0 | 1397 | 1578 | 0 | 1259 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.01 |  | 1.00 | 0.09 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 535 | 397 | 224 | 511 | 238 | 164 | 510 | 0 |  | 496 | 0 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.00 |  | 0.39 | 0.00 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 747 | 1331 | 752 | 754 | 1063 | 732 | 1527 | 0 |  | 1427 | 0 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 7.7 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／In | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 7.9 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 11.0 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 206 |  |  | 147 |  |  | 193 | A |  | 194 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 8.1 |  |  | 10.4 |  |  | 9.4 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），$s$ |  | 10.2 | 5.4 | 10.5 |  | 10.2 | 7.2 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{Rc}$ ），s |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s |  | 21.5 | 5.0 | 20.0 |  | 21.5 | 6.5 | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s |  | 4.6 | 2.4 | 2.9 |  | 4.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s |  | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl DelayHCM 6th LOS |  |  | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 206 |  | 147 |  | 213 |  |  | 270 |  |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 251 |  | 188 |  | 243 |  |  | 337 |  |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 273 |  | 355 |  | 248 |  |  | 159 |  |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 126 |  | 113 |  | 275 |  |  | 384 |  |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 6.7 |  | 6.9 |  | 5.6 |  |  | 5.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Bypass | Left |  | Bypass |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LT |  | R | LT |  | R |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |  | 1.000 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  | 2.609 |  |  | 2.609 |  |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 4.976 |  | 23 | 4.976 |  | 97 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 251 |  | 188 |  | 220 |  | 1230 | 240 |  | 1213 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1045 |  | 961 |  | 1071 |  | 0.855 | 1173 |  | 0.781 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.819 |  | 0.780 |  | 0.878 |  | 20 | 0.810 |  | 76 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 206 |  | 147 |  | 193 |  | 1051 | 194 |  | 948 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 856 |  | 749 |  | 941 |  | 0.019 | 950 |  | 0.080 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.240 |  | 0.196 |  | 0.205 |  | 3.6 | 0.205 |  | 4.5 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 6.7 |  | 6.9 |  | 5.8 |  | A | 5.8 |  | A |
| LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  | 0 | A |  | 0 |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |  | 4 | 「 |  | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 88 | 101 | 13 | 27 | 100 | 24 | 18 | 178 | 16 | 16 | 147 | 99 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 88 | 101 | 13 | 27 | 100 | 24 | 18 | 178 | 16 | 16 | 147 | 99 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Yield | － | － | Yield |
| Storage Length | 300 | － | 300 | 300 | － | 300 | － | － | 250 | － | － | 250 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 19 | 11 | 29 | 5 | 17 | 53 | 2 | 15 | 27 | 82 | 15 | 10 |
| Mvmt Flow | 96 | 110 | 14 | 29 | 109 | 26 | 20 | 193 | 17 | 17 | 160 | 108 |



| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Intersection Delay，s／veh | 12.9 |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 88 | 101 | 13 | 27 | 100 | 24 | 18 | 178 | 16 | 16 | 147 | 99 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 88 | 101 | 13 | 27 | 100 | 24 | 18 | 178 | 16 | 16 | 147 | 99 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 19 | 11 | 29 | 5 | 17 | 53 | 2 | 15 | 27 | 82 | 15 | 10 |
| Mvmt Flow | 96 | 110 | 14 | 29 | 109 | 26 | 20 | 193 | 17 | 17 | 160 | 108 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 12 |  |  | 11.7 |  |  | 13.6 |  |  | 13.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | EBLn3 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | WBLn3 | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left，\％ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru，$\%$ | $91 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right，\％ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 196 | 16 | 88 | 101 | 13 | 27 | 100 | 24 | 163 | 99 |
| LT Vol | 18 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 178 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 147 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 99 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 213 | 17 | 96 | 110 | 14 | 29 | 109 | 26 | 177 | 108 |
| Geometry Grp | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Degree of Util（X） | 0.399 | 0.03 | 0.204 | 0.215 | 0.026 | 0.062 | 0.22 | 0.052 | 0.391 | 0.181 |
| Departure Headway（Hd） | 6.74 | 6.212 | 7.694 | 7.046 | 6.645 | 7.604 | 7.302 | 7.213 | 7.936 | 6.041 |
| Convergence，Y／N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 530 | 573 | 464 | 506 | 535 | 468 | 488 | 493 | 452 | 591 |
| Service Time | 4.517 | 3.989 | 5.478 | 4.829 | 4.427 | 5.395 | 5.093 | 5.003 | 5.709 | 3.813 |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | 0.402 | 0.03 | 0.207 | 0.217 | 0.026 | 0.062 | 0.223 | 0.053 | 0.392 | 0.183 |
| HCM Control Delay | 14 | 9.2 | 12.5 | 11.8 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 15.8 | 10.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | $B$ | $B$ | A | B | B | B | C | B |
| HCM 95th－tile Q | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.7 |

3: TH 23 \& TH 7

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | * | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 88 | 101 | 13 | 27 | 100 | 24 | 18 | 178 | 16 | 16 | 147 | 99 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 88 | 101 | 13 | 27 | 100 | 24 | 18 | 178 | 16 | 16 | 147 | 99 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h//n | 1618 | 1737 | 1470 | 1826 | 1648 | 1115 | 1870 | 1678 | 1500 | 685 | 1678 | 1752 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 96 | 110 | 14 | 29 | 109 | 26 | 20 | 193 | 0 | 17 | 160 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 19 | 11 | 29 | 5 | 17 | 53 | 2 | 15 | 27 | 82 | 15 | 10 |
| Cap, veh/h | 536 | 392 | 281 | 541 | 274 | 157 | 167 | 355 |  | 167 | 355 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1541 | 1737 | 1246 | 1739 | 1648 | 945 | 80 | 1556 | 1271 | 78 | 1555 | 1485 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 96 | 110 | 14 | 29 | 109 | 26 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1541 | 1737 | 1246 | 1739 | 1648 | 945 | 1636 | 0 | 1271 | 1633 | 0 | 1485 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.09 |  | 1.00 | 0.10 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 536 | 392 | 281 | 541 | 274 | 157 | 522 | 0 |  | 521 | 0 |  |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.41 | 0.00 |  | 0.34 | 0.00 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 767 | 1313 | 942 | 806 | 1152 | 660 | 1456 | 0 |  | 1451 | 0 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 7.7 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 7.9 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | B | A | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 220 |  |  | 164 |  |  | 213 | A |  | 177 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 8.4 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  | 9.5 |  |  | 9.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ |  | 10.5 | 5.5 | 10.5 |  | 10.5 | 7.0 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 21.5 | 5.0 | 20.0 |  | 21.5 | 6.5 | 18.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 5.0 | 2.4 | 3.4 |  | 4.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 |  | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrr Delay |  |  | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 5.9 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 220 | 164 | 230 | 285 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 254 | 198 | 264 | 334 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 245 | 356 | 267 | 178 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 148 | 153 | 232 | 376 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 5.1 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Bypass | Left | Bypass |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LT | R | LT | R |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | RT | LT | R |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  | Yield |  | Yield |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |  |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.609 | 2.609 |  |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 22 | 4.976 | 119 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 254 | 198 | 242 | 1180 | 215 | 1187 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1075 | 960 | 1051 | 0.787 | 1151 | 0.909 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.866 | 0.830 | 0.880 | 17 | 0.823 | 108 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 220 | 164 | 213 | 930 | 177 | 1079 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 931 | 797 | 925 | 0.018 | 947 | 0.100 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.236 | 6.7 | 0.230 | 4.0 | 0.187 | 4.2 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 6.2 | $A$ | 6.2 | A | 5.6 | A |
| LOS | A | 1 | 1 | 0 | A | 0 |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

